



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/728,072	12/04/2003	Ron Heil	GUID.626PA	7645
51294	7590	06/26/2009	EXAMINER	
HOLLINGSWORTH & FUNK, LLC			KAHELIN, MICHAEL WILLIAM	
8009 34TH AVE S.				
SUITE 125			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55425			3762	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/26/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/728,072	HEIL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MICHAEL KAHELIN	3762	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MICHAEL KAHELIN. (3) ____.

(2) Paul Sherburne. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 23 June 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,18,48 and 55.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant cited original claim 55 for support of a single driving arrangement for both the lead and the can to traverse the 112(1) rejections of the previous Office Action and proposed amendments to provide a power source and ground layer to the claims. The Examiner indicated that original claim 55 and the proposed amendments to claims 1, 18, 48, and 55 appear to render the 112 issues moot, but final determination of allowability rests with the Primary Examiner.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Michael Kahelin/ Examiner, Art Unit 3762	
--	--